



Department for Levelling Up,
Housing & Communities

Lee Rowley MP

*Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for Local
Government and Building Safety*

Clive Betts MP

Chair, Levelling Up, Housing and Communities
Committee

***Department for Levelling Up, Housing and
Communities***

Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London
SW1P 4DF

14 July 2023

Dear Clive,

Thank you for inviting me to give evidence on 17 July to the Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee inquiry into Financial Reporting and Audit in Local Authorities. A strong, well-functioning local audit system is essential to maintain public confidence in transparent and accountable local democracy. Local bodies must be able to plan, manage their services and base their decision-making on accurate, reliable, up-to-date and timely financial information.

In advance of the evidence session, I would like to update you on work since Spring this year that my department, along with Financial Reporting Council colleagues, has undertaken on proposals to address the significant backlog of local government audits in England and develop a sustainable solution to the timeliness challenges which the local audit sector has faced in recent years. The attached paper derives from this work and outlines clear proposals to resolving these issues, which have been agreed in principle with key partners in the local audit system.

While the proposals will be subject to further work and engagement across the system over the Summer, this constitutes significant further progress. The intention is that, subject to the conclusion of the appropriate details, agreed changes will be implemented by the end of this year.

This letter supplements the written evidence submitted to the Committee in April on our broader programme of reform across the local audit system.

I look forward to discussing these issues further with you and the Committee next week. This letter and the accompanying statement will also be deposited in the House library.

Yours sincerely,

LEE ROWLEY MP

**Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for
Local Government and Building Safety**

LOCAL AUDIT DELAYS – CROSS-SYSTEM STATEMENT ON PROPOSALS TO CLEAR THE BACKLOG AND EMBED TIMELY AUDITS

Introduction

1. There has been a deterioration in the timeliness of local audit since 2017/18, with delays compounding during the COVID-19 pandemic, leading to a persistent and significant backlog of audit opinions. Since November 2020, the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) has implemented a wide range of measures to improve timeliness and the wider local audit system as part of its response to Sir Tony Redmond's *Independent Review of local financial reporting and audit*.¹ In addition, in December 2021 DLUHC published a further package of measures to improve local audit delays, which went beyond Sir Tony Redmond's original recommendations.
2. We recognise that fuller action is required. This note sets out a range of broad proposals and actions, agreed in principle with key partners across the local audit system, to address the backlog of local audits in England. Local audit is both a vital and independent source of assurance and a key element of the checks and balances within the local accountability framework, and we must collectively ensure that the local audit system is on a strong and sustainable footing for the future. There exists a shared resolve and commitment amongst the organisations referenced in this document to take action now to tackle the exceptional circumstances of the current backlog and ensure a return to timely delivery of high-quality financial reporting and external audit in local bodies,² in order to provide the vital accountability and assurance needed for local people and their elected representatives.
3. Further engagement and cross-system work will be needed this Summer to finalise the proposals outlined in this statement. Following this, we anticipate changes to the relevant codes and standards will be made in time for implementation to begin by the end of December 2023.

Context

4. Local audit completion for the financial year 2021/22 remains at approximately 27 percent, with the combined total of outstanding local audits dating back to 2015/16 now totalling nearly 520. This is clearly unacceptable. There is consensus across the system that there is now no alternative but to take collective action to resolve the backlog. Restoring timely audit and financial reporting will improve local accountability, strengthen the government's ability to identify warning signs of potential failure in local bodies and provide assurance to local residents about financial management and governance.
5. DLUHC, working with the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) as it prepares to commence the shadow system leadership role, has led urgent cross system work over the Spring - involving auditors, Section 151 Officers, regulators, government departments and other key stakeholders – to find a solution to reset the system.

ADDRESSING THE LOCAL AUDIT BACKLOG: PROPOSITION

6. Working together, the National Audit Office (NAO) and DLUHC intend to set a series of statutory deadlines for accounts preparers and auditors to clear the backlog of delayed

¹ [Local authority financial reporting and external audit: independent review - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/local-authority-financial-reporting-and-external-audit-independent-review)

² Local bodies include councils but also other relevant authorities as defined under the Local Audit and Accountability Act (2014)

audits for financial years 2015/16 to present. Auditors would then be required to provide as much assurance as possible for these outstanding years, reporting as normal any significant concerns they have on an organisation's financial controls, financial reporting as well as financial resilience, governance and risk. Where necessary, it is intended that auditors would need to limit their opinion and make clear to the users of the accounts those aspects or sections of a set of accounts which are not supported by sufficient, appropriate evidence, and which the auditor is unable to provide assurance over. Auditors' statutory duty to report on value for money (VfM) arrangements and their statutory audit powers (such as the power to make statutory recommendations or produce Public Interest Reports where necessary) are an important mechanism for assurance and for identifying areas of concern at an early stage, allowing councils to address them. Under these proposals this will remain a high priority.

7. These deadlines may result in qualifications and disclaimers of opinion in the short term for a number of local bodies. We believe that these steps are necessary to reset the system and to restore the assurance which is provided by timely annual audits. Whilst further detailed work is needed across the Summer, including to mitigate any unintended consequences of these measures, there is broad consensus from organisations referenced in this document that without any action being taken, the delays will continue for a number of years, and in that scenario, when the delayed audits are reported, they will offer little if any assurance about the current position. In the meantime, there is a heightened risk of auditors not identifying and reporting on important, more current issues. We must ensure the capacity of the sector is focused on the most recent position as soon as possible.
8. Where an auditor has to issue a disclaimer of opinion, however, there will still be a need to audit the opening balances of the subsequent set of accounts, as the prior year figures will not be covered by an unqualified auditor's opinion. The Department is seeking to ensure that work to clear the backlog of accounts takes place within a limited window of time. It will therefore consider measures to address any knock-on effects of the proposals which may impact the audit of opening balances within the accounts for future years and ensure the burden of auditing opening balances does not risk creating further delays. An important consideration will be ensuring there is appropriate assurance in place for opening balances for the start of the new contract period for the 99% of local bodies which have opted in to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited's (PSAA) scheme.

Commitments by system partners

9. The **National Audit Office** is considering the development of a replacement Code of Audit Practice to give effect to the changes outlined above. This would include a requirement on auditors to issue the audit opinion for specific financial years in line with new statutory deadlines set out in legislation for the relevant authority. Auditors' statutory requirement to report on value for money arrangements would remain unchanged. Auditors would also be expected to facilitate a smooth transition during the contract handover period for the 2023/24 contracts. As part of this work the NAO will be establishing a specific Programme Board to provide the necessary governance to deliver a replacement Code of Audit Practice by the end of the year including the necessary consultation and Parliamentary process.
10. Alongside this **DLUHC** is considering whether legislative change is needed to:
 - a. set new statutory deadlines for local bodies to publish accounts to mirror the proposed changes to the Code of Audit Practice.

- b. address any knock-on effects of the proposals which may impact the audit of opening balances within the accounts for future years.
11. Under these proposals the **Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA)** would issue guidance to support accounts preparers to follow any amended regulations which set out new statutory deadlines, provided the authority is in receipt of the appropriate audit findings report from the auditor. CIPFA would also set out how Section 151 Officers should approach their responsibilities to certify the accounts in light of potential qualifications or disclaimers which may result from these proposals.
 12. To support these changes, the **Financial Reporting Council (FRC)** will publish guidance on its regulatory approach to Major Local Audits³ (MLAs), articulating the importance of timeliness and compliance with statutory deadlines as an additional measure of audit quality. It will work with the NAO on the development of guidance where necessary to assist with the application of standards for these audits, including the need to meet the statutory dates. Local auditors will be required to have regard to and follow the NAO's guidance. The FRC's inspection activity would review auditors' compliance with auditing standards, the Code and relevant NAO guidance.
 13. The FRC will use its broader supervisory role to ensure commitment from audit firm leaders to implement the policy measures and the steps that are being taken to meet the timetables for concluding historical audits. This route will be used to escalate any pervasive concerns the FRC has gathered on an audit firm's resilience, risk management and ability to deliver timely local audits and address their part of the backlog.
 14. Under these proposals the FRC intends not to undertake routine audit quality reviews and inspections of MLAs for the historic audits up to the end of the 2021/22 financial year (though FRC will continue to inspect audit firms which deliver NHS audits). FRC will only conduct quality review inspections for historical audits where there is a clear case in the public interest to do so. The FRC will suspend the decision on the timing, scope, and coverage of inspections for the 2022/23 audits until there is confirmation of any revision to the NAO's Code of Audit Practice.
 15. The FRC will need to ensure that its enforcement function is still able to appropriately gather information and evidence to determine whether, in the public interest, there should be an investigation into accounting or auditing issues where there are significant financial and governance failures.
 16. The **Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW)** is responsible for the inspection and regulation of non-Major Local Audits.⁴ The ICAEW proposes that its regulatory response to these measures will be consistent with the planned action of the FRC, as set out above.
 17. **PSAA** is responsible for appointing an auditor and setting scales of fees for local bodies that have chosen to opt-in to its national scheme. A small number of authorities are not opted-in to PSAA's scheme and appoint their own auditors independently. Under these proposals, PSAA anticipates that it will need to determine final fees for opted-in authorities for the historic periods on a case-by-case basis. Its guiding principle in this will remain that if auditors have worked in good faith to meet the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice in place at the time the work was conducted, then they are due the appropriate fee for the

³ An audit of a local government body or NHS body with income or expenditure of at least £500m or a local authority pension scheme with at least 20,000 members or gross assets in excess of £1bn.

⁴ ICAEW's Quality Assurance Department (QAD) is responsible for reviewing local audits conducted under the Local Audit and Accountability Act that are not major local audits.

work done, and the body is due to pay the applicable fee, including where there is a disclaimer or qualified opinion. Conversely, if an auditor has collected audit fees in part or in full, and a change in requirements means that the total work done represents less than the fee already collected, then the auditor must return the balance and refund the body the appropriate amount – this ensures that the bodies pay only for work that has been done.

18. A number of **audit firms** with responsibility for local audits from 2015 have been a party to the development of these proposals, and under these plans would work with DLUHC, FRC and NAO on their professional commitment to the steps they would take to ensure successful implementation of the measures to clear the backlog. Such a commitment, underpinned by the auditors' professional duty to be independent and deliver consistent high-quality and timely work, would be welcomed by all parties within the system. Audit firms will of course need to operate in accordance with any changes to the Code of Audit Practice as well as continuing to fulfil their existing statutory duties.
19. **Chief Executive Officers, Section 151 Officers and Audit Committees** also play a critical role in delivering high-quality financial reporting. DLUHC will continue to engage Section 151 Officers and the wider sector as proposals are further developed over the Summer.
20. Under these proposals, Section 151 Officers will be expected to work with Audit Committee members (or equivalent) to approve the final accounts by the statutory deadline in order for the audit opinion to be issued at the same time. In addition, Chief Executives, Section 151 Officers, local authority Leaders and Chairs of Audit Committees should alert the auditor to significant organisational risks, critical decisions and changes in financial sustainability, and also where they have identified concerns on systems of financial control, financial reporting and capacity and capability to produce high-quality financial reporting on time. Where there are significant resilience risks, they should alert the auditor of the options, choices and alternatives that are being considered.
21. We will work with the **Local Government Association (LGA)** over the Summer, including to engage its members on these proposals. Under these proposals the LGA will support councils to understand their role in relation to external audit and that of auditors, and help councils communicate those messages to elected members and officers as necessary. The Department will also continue to engage with Section 151 Officers and treasurers' societies, in addition to representatives from the range of authorities impacted by these proposals.

LONGER TERM CHANGE

22. In order to prevent a recurrence of the backlog, it is essential that underlying issues which may have driven delays are addressed. Work will therefore progress with a number of organisations including the FRC, the NAO, CIPFA and the LGA to devise an escalated reporting framework for audit firms and local bodies to resolve issues ahead of statutory deadlines. We will also look to publish a list of local bodies and audit firms which meet statutory deadlines and those which do not.
23. Other underlying challenges will also continue to be addressed. The FRC is already leading work across the system to improve competition, capability and supply within the audit market. The FRC, supported by DLUHC, is committed to producing a workforce strategy by the end of the 2023 calendar year, which will identify gaps and barriers across the local audit system that are hindering the development of future capacity and agree actions and solutions to unblock these with stakeholders.

Local financial reporting, auditing and regulatory requirements

24. It is critical that a repeat of the backlog is avoided in the future. Work across the local audit system must therefore be sustainable and ensure proportionate financial reporting requirements, auditing requirements and regulatory requirements are in place.
25. Although reporting and disclosure requirements required by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting have not changed for many years, there is a perception that both audit and regulatory expectations relating to the audit of non-investment assets have increased significantly in recent years. As a result, both account preparers and auditors frequently engage specialist valuers to provide the level of assurance which is thought to be necessary. In his review, Sir Tony Redmond noted a lack of consensus within the system over how to address this.
26. Local authority financial reporting must balance the need for adherence to financial standards against the needs of the users of local authority financial information, including ensuring the accounts are still useful and valuable to the taxpayer. Where reporting, auditing and regulatory standards combine to create pressures which delay timely reporting and audit, this should be addressed by responsible organisations across the local audit system. Doing so is vital in ensuring the delicate balance between high-quality financial reporting and user value is maintained.
27. There is also a question as to whether the level of work required for the current reporting and disclosures obligations on account preparers, which then require audit and oversight, is proportionate to their value to the user of the accounts, given the potential financial or governance risks are relatively low. All system participants therefore need to consider whether this work is proportionate to risk and a wise use of taxpayers' money, and will do so in the coming months.
28. Local authority accounts are consolidated within the statutory Whole of Government Accounts, which are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (as adapted and interpreted for the public sector). Since 2010 these standards have been reflected in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting, which is independently set by CIPFA and passed by the CIPFA LASAAC⁵ board, under the advice of the Financial Reporting Advisory Board (FRAB) - an independent advisory board.
29. In light of these issues, the **Comptroller & Auditor General (C&AG)** is considering changes to the Code of Audit Practice relating to certain balances in the accounts to prevent continued local audit delays while a broader solution is sought. The C&AG is taking this action under the requirement of the 2014 Local Audit and Accountability Act that he should keep under review whether the existing Code continues to embody best professional practice with respect to the standards, procedures and techniques to be adopted by local auditors. As such, it reflects the seriousness with which he views the current delays in the local audit system. Potential changes would be time limited and would need to be supported by wider changes to standards and regulation.
30. The NAO has established a dedicated programme board, supported by at least three working groups, to develop the potential changes and related technical questions with stakeholders. A range of mechanisms will be considered to allow auditors to discharge their responsibilities to gather sufficient, appropriate and reliable audit evidence in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs).

⁵ Local Authority (Scotland) Accounts Advisory Committee

31. The C&AG, as he is required to do by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, will keep the Code of Audit Practice under review and will consider the effectiveness and operation of any changes made to the Code. This would inform a wider review of the measures to clear the backlog outlined above.
32. **His Majesty's Treasury (HMT)** is conducting a thematic review of the valuation of non-investment assets such as roads and office buildings for financial reporting purposes across the public sector. The review is seeking to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of the current valuation regime and consider the appropriate measurement options.
33. There are advantages to the alignment of central and local government accounting, including allowing local government accounts to be more easily consolidated into the statutory Whole of Government Accounts. As set out above, however, the level of work required by account preparers and auditors must not limit the value of the accounts to the user. **CIPFA** is therefore exploring changes to the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting for the medium and long term, in order to enable a more proportionate approach to the accounting requirements for non-investment assets and pension valuations for a local authority context. As a standard setter, CIPFA's guiding principle in approaching any changes to the reporting requirements adopted by the public sector in 2010 will be ensuring that high-quality financial reporting and the utility of financial statements to account users is maintained. As outlined above, any consideration of changes to accounting requirements will be accompanied by a broader set of measures from actors across the system.
34. CIPFA's work will run in parallel to HMT's thematic review. As the body responsible for local government accounting requirements, CIPFA is part of the working group HMT has set up for the review. CIPFA has clearly set out its view on the review's proposals. CIPFA will continue to work with HMT to ensure that any consequential changes to the Government Financial Reporting Manual (FRM) and the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting are considered fully to ensure that the users of local authority accounts are incorporated.
35. In addition, CIPFA has already made a temporary adjustment to the Code on the valuation and reporting requirements for local authority infrastructure assets, to support amendments to regulation made by DLUHC in December 2022. However, clearly a long-term solution needs to be developed. This will take longer than the current temporary measures (both legislative and Code based) allow. As such, DLUHC will seek to extend the changes made to legislation last year and CIPFA will consider whether the current amendments to the Code can be extended in tandem.
36. **The Financial Reporting Council (FRC)** has committed to set out annually its planned regulatory programme, areas of focus and how its inspection activity serves the public interest through alignment with the significant financial, accounting and governance risks facing local bodies. As part of this the FRC expects to clearly set out how its inspection activity will review auditors' work on operational assets and pensions valuation, the rationale for doing so and examples of good practice. FRC inspection activity will continue to review compliance with auditing and ethical standards, any revised Code of Audit Practice and associated guidance.
37. The FRC has also indicated, in principle, that if the audit and reporting requirements for operational asset and pensions valuations are revised, its intention will be to update the inspection approach to reflect the changes in these areas.
38. The FRC's Audit & Assurance Sandbox initiative is taking forward a specific policy discussion on the application of materiality by local authority auditors. The Sandbox brings together groups of auditors, practitioners, regulatory bodies and interested parties to explore, identify and develop solutions to specific technical and policy issues. The

materiality discussions are expected to conclude before the end of the year. Next steps could include, for instance, the FRC determining whether additional guidance is required to support how auditors set materiality levels for local bodies in line with auditing standards or working with those local audit suppliers who decide to set a different basis of materiality without such guidance.

Conclusion

39. The local audit system, which comprises all of the organisations listed above, recognises the need to restore the timeliness of financial reporting and audit in local government. That is why all system partners have made clear proposals to reduce the backlog of local audits in England which are detailed in this statement. The Government will continue to work with the FRC and all key partners across the system to continue this ambitious programme of work over the Summer. As noted above, this will include consideration of longer-term changes in order to create a more sustainable local audit system for the future.

14 July 2023