Rt Hon Michael Gove MP and Rt Hon Alok Sharma MP
(by email)

Monday 10th August 2020

Dear Michael and Alok,

**The White Paper on the UK Internal Market**

I am writing in regards to the White Paper on the UK Internal Market. As the White Paper sets out, the British and then UK internal market has been at the heart of economic social prosperity of this country dating back to the Acts of Union in 1706/7. The arrangements of the UK’s internal market will continue to be of importance to the UK’s prosperity now we have left the EU. As such, how the internal market is maintained and regulated is a matter of great importance, and requires careful consideration, not least because it will impact on the UK’s devolved governance arrangements. The Committee has a number of issues which it feels should be raised in response to the consultation and in advance of the introduction of a UK Internal Market Bill.

On the consultation process, the Committee is concerned at the timing and length of the consolation period for the White Paper. The Government’s Consultation Principles state that “Consultations should last for a proportionate amount of time”. The White Paper was published on 16 July and responses are due by 15 August. This one month consultation is not proportionate to the importance of the issues dealt with, and is not in line with other important consultations. For example, the Online Harms consultation lasted for 12 weeks between April and July 2019. Added to this the consultation was launched one week before, and concludes during, the summer recess preventing Parliament and, in particular select committees, giving adequate consideration to the proposals and form responses to the consultation. However, the Committee is aware that it is a matter of prime importance that proper arrangements are in place well before the end of the transition period, for regulating the country’s internal market. As such the Government is now faced with time constraints in introducing this legislation that has meant the consultation period is shorter than would be expected. **Therefore, it is essential that when the Bill is introduced to the House there is an appropriate amount of time for Committees to consider, take evidence, and report on the Bill.**

The main subject matter of the Bill in making provision for protecting the flow of goods and services in our home market clearly falls within the remit of the Department of Business Energy and Industrial strategy (BEIS). However, the effects of the Bill as outlined in the White Paper will engage with and alter the UK's devolved governance arrangements. This is a significant constitutional effect. As it currently stands, many of the areas for which the devolved institutions have legislative and policy competence
are governed and restricted by EU law.¹ At the end of the transition period, EU law will no longer restrict devolved institutions from legislating and setting policy in the areas for which they have competence. As the White Paper identifies, this creates the possibility that different regulatory systems could be put in place in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, potentially creating barriers to the flow of goods and services. The White Paper addresses this issue by proposing to set in law the principles of mutual recognition and non-discrimination. This will effectively create new reservations in areas of devolved competence. Given the constitutional nature of the proposals set out in the White Paper, it is important that these are openly acknowledged, considered and debated, as the Bill makes its passage through Parliament. The Committee believes that a Cabinet Office Minister should be attached to the Bill, alongside a Minister from BEIS.

Given that the Bill involves areas of devolved competence and potentially reserves new powers, it would be preferable for legislative consent to be given by each of the devolved legislatures. To aid this, in line with the recommendation of the predecessor Committee to which the Government agreed, the Draft Bill should be shared and developed with devolved administrations “far enough in advance for a devolved government to identify and work through any issues in the legislation with the UK Government” before first reading.² If there remain differences as the Bill continues its passage, the Government should provide regular updates to the Committee, outlining the outstanding points and providing the UK Government’s position. However, the Committee is not insensible to the politically-charged atmosphere, which may mean such legislative consent is not forthcoming. The Government should indicate whether, in such circumstances, it would intend to override the Sewel convention.

The Committee welcomes the Government’s inclusion of an independent monitoring body for the UK internal market. Given the timing of the consultation, the Committee is not at this point in a position to comment in detail on what such a body should look like. This is an issue to which we would expect to return once the Government have set out its proposals. We would, however, expect that any proposals for the independent monitoring Body will involve direct reporting to House of Commons.

As this Committee has made clear – and which has been stated by other Westminster and devolved committees – there is a need to establish new functioning inter-governmental relations mechanisms. Given the nature of the proposals in the White Paper, and the Government’s statement that the internal market arrangements will need to “account for the Review of Inter-governmental Relations”, the Government cannot continue to delay on the review and reform of inter-governmental relations. This review and accompanying draft reform proposals must be published as a matter of urgency to allow for proper scrutiny to take place.

¹ See for example Scotland Act 1998, section 29(2)(d)
² Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee, Eighth Special Report of Session 2017-19, Government Response to the Committee’s Eighth Report: Devolution and Exiting the EU: reconciling differences and building strong relationships, HC 1574
Further to this the Government must be transparent about future inter-governmental relations and devolution policy. **Whatever the motives, the continued refusal to publish the Dunlop review risks casting suspicion on the actions and motives of the Government.** The UK Government has previously resisted establishing formal arrangements to report to the House on inter-governmental relations. Given the increased importance intergovernmental relations will have now the UK has left the EU, for example in relation to the UK internal market, the Government should consider including reporting responsibilities in new arrangements.

The Committee will return to this issue in the Autumn, but I would be grateful for your thoughts on these points before the House returns in September. As is customary, I will be placing this letter and your responses in the public domain.

Yours sincerely,

William Wragg MP
Chair, Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee
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